1	Robert Galvin (SBN 171508)						
2	robert.galvin@wilmerhale.com Cortney C. Hoecherl (SBN 245005)						
3	cortney.hoecherl@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE						
4	AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road						
5	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100						
6							
7	Cynthia D. Vreeland cynthia.vreeland@wilmerhale.com						
8	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP						
9	60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000						
10							
11	Of Counsel:						
12	William F. Lee						
13	william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE						
14	AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Poston MA 02100						
15	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000						
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA					
18	SAN JOSE D	IVISION					
19	PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC and	Case No. 5:13-cv-01358-EJD					
20	Level 3 Communications, LLC,	DECLARATION OF CORTNEY					
21	Plaintiffs, v.	C. HOECHERL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF					
22	EMC Corporation and VMware, Inc.	MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY PENDING INTER PARTES					
23	Defendants.	REVIEW					
24	Dorondants.	Hon. Edward J. Davila					
25		Hearing Date: January 10, 2014					
26		Time: 9:00 am					
27		Courtroom: 4 (5 th Floor)					
28	DECLARATION OF CORTNEY C. HOECHERL IN SUPP	ORT OF					

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES* REVIEW Case No. 5:13-cv-01358-EJD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

I.	Cortney	C.	Hoecherl,	declare	as	follows
	Columb	~.	1100011011,	Cr C C L CC L C	000	

- I am an attorney with Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, representing EMC Corporation and VMware, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") in the above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called to testify as a witness, could and would do so competently.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,791 ("the '791 patent");
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the front cover, copyright page, and page 860 of the McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms (4th ed., 1989) (filed as Exhibit "EMCVMW 1013" to Defendants' '791 IPR Petition);
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of B. Kaliski, "A Survey 4. of Encryption Standards," IEEE Micro 74-81 (Dec. 1993) (filed as Exhibit "EMCVMW 1014" to Defendants' '791 IPR Petition);
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of D. Knott, "Hashing functions," 18 The Computer Journal 265-278 (1975) (filed as Exhibit "EMCVMW 1011" to Defendants' '791 IPR Petition);
- Attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of R. Rivest, "The MD5 6. Message-Digest Algorithm," Internet RFC 1321 (Apr. 1992) (filed as Exhibit "EMCVMW 1012" to Defendants' '791 IPR Petition);
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of Defendants' filed 7. Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the '791 patent (Dkt. 8, IPR2013-00082).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the Docket Report for 8. Case No. 6:11-cv-00660-LED, as obtained from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records website ("PACER") at https://pacer.login.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/login.pl?court id=00pcl, and as accessed on August 7, 2013.

DECLARATION OF CORTNEY C. HOECHERL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW Case No. 5:13-cv-1358-EJD

- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 8** is a true and correct copy of Defendants' filed Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,415,280 ("the '280 patent") (Dkt. 6, IPR2013-00083).
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of Defendant EMC Corp.'s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,945,544 ("the '544 patent") (Dkt. 3, IPR2013-00084).
- 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 10** is a true and correct copy of Defendant EMC Corp.'s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,945,539 ("the '539 patent") (Dkt. 5, IPR2013-00085).
- 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 11** is a true and correct copy of Defendant EMC Corp.'s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,949,662 ("the '662 patent") (Dkt. 3, IPR2013-00086).
- 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 12** is a true and correct copy of Defendant EMC Corp.'s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,001,096 ("the '096 patent") (Dkt. 5, IPR2013-00087).
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("PTAB's") Decision to institute *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of the '791 patent (Dkt. 21, IPR2013-00082).
- 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 14** is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Decision to institute IPR of the '280 patent (Dkt. 19, IPR2013-00083).
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 15** is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Decision to institute IPR of the '544 patent (Dkt. 14, IPR2013-00084).
- 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 16** is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Decision to institute IPR of the '539 patent (Dkt. 18, IPR2013-00085).
- 18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 17** is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Decision to institute IPR of the '662 patent (Dkt. 14, IPR2013-00086).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19.	Attached hereto as	Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Decision	n
to institute IPF	R of the '096 patent	(Dkt. 16, IPR2013-00087).	

- Attached hereto as **Exhibit 19** is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's 20. Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '791 patent (Dkt. 22, IPR2013-00082).
- 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '280 patent (Dkt. 20, IPR2013-00083).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's 22. Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '544 patent (Dkt. 15, IPR2013-00084).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's 23. Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '539 patent (Dkt. 19, IPR2013-00085).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's 24. Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '662 patent (Dkt. 15, IPR2013-00086).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 24 is a true and correct copy of the PTAB's 25. Scheduling Order regarding IPR of the '096 patent (Dkt. 17, IPR2013-00087).
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 25 is a true and correct copy of the unpublished 26. opinion, Autoalert, Inc. v. Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC, Case No. 12-cv-01661, ECF No. 41 (C.D. Cal. May 22, 2013), as obtained from PACER.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 26 is a true and correct copy of the unpublished 27. opinion, Pi-Net Int'l, Inc. v. The Hertz Corp., Case No. 12-cv-10012, ECF No. 31 (C.D. Cal. June 5, 2013), as obtained from PACER.
- Attached hereto as **Exhibit 27** is a true and correct copy of the unpublished 28. opinion, Robert Bosch Healthcare Sys., Inc. v. Cardiocom, LLC, Case No. 12-cv-03864, ECF No. 43 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2012), as obtained from PACER.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 28 is a true and correct copy of PersonalWeb's 29. Supplemental Infringement Contentions, as served on Defendants in PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. EMC Corp. et al., Case No. 6:11-cv-00660 (LED), on or around October 31, 2012.

DECLARATION OF CORTNEY C. HOECHERL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW Case No. 5:13-cv-01358-EJD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case5:13-cv-01358-EJD Document8-2 Filed08/13/13 Page5 of 5

- 30. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 29** is a true and correct copy of the unpublished opinion, EuroCapital v. Colburn, 2008 WL 401352, C.A. No. 3035-VCN (Del. Ch. 2008), as obtained from Westlaw.
- Attached hereto as **Exhibit 30** is a true and correct copy of the unpublished 31. opinion, Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter International Inc., Case No. 2012-1334 (Fed. Cir. July 2, 2013), as obtained at http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/images/stories/opinions-orders/12-1334. Opinion. 6-27-2013. 1. PDF, and as accessed on August 12, 2013.
- As of August 13, 2013, the parties PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. EMC Corp. et al., 32. Case No. 6:11-cy-00660 (LED) have not yet negotiated email search terms and custodians as anticipated by the Court's E-Discovery Order (Dkt. 80), no fact depositions have been taken on issues beyond venue transfer, no expert reports have been exchanged, no expert depositions have occurred, and no summary judgment motions have been filed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 13, 2013, in Palo Alto, California.

Cortney C. Hoecherl

DECLARATION OF CORTNEY C. HOECHERL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY PENDING INTER PARTES REVIEW Case No. 5:13-cv-01358-EJD